

| TRO   | Plan No. | Street Name    | Commenter          | For | Against | Undecided | Comment   | Email  |
|-------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----|---------|-----------|---|--|
| 10969 | A2       | Church Road    | PSJ Parish Council | 1   |         |           | It was proposed to approve restrictions being placed in this area (as detailed on tile A2) but request they be extended to run from the junction at Vicarage Gardens to the junction at Hillcrest and be applied to both sides of the carriageway. This would help alleviate the dangers in this particular area being on the brow of the hill and the narrow width of the carriageway.   | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | B3       | Sunnyside View | PSJ Parish Council | 1   |         |           | It was suggested that the parking restrictions in this area were to enable access for emergency vehicles to this road. It was therefore proposed to support the restrictions proposed as detailed on tile B3.   | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | B4       | Braysdown Lane | PSJ Parish Council |     |         | 1         | It was proposed to support the restrictions but only at the junction sections of Braysdown Lane and Tynning Road (as detailed on tile B4) in order to improve visibility splays and highway safety, it was, however, proposed to object to the restrictions suggested for the section of road between the junctions as it was felt that cars regularly parking on this stretch of road will then start parking on the opposite side of the road which would definitely cause visibility issues and impact on highway safety or further along Wellow Lane which is already heavily congested.  | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | C2       | Bell Vue Close | PSJ Parish Council | 1   |         |           | It was proposed to support the restrictions at Belle Vue Close detailed on tile C2 as it was felt they would improve highway safety in this area due to the bend in the road.   | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | C3       | Russet Way     | Resident           |     | 1       |           | Will further restrict the lack of parking in Russet Way, will have nowhere to park my car. Lines will be unsightly. Already have double yellow and single white lines opposite. Will affect value of my home. Won't solve the problem. The problem with the junction with Laxton way is cars parked at bottom of Laxton Way causing cars to swerve when they swing into the road. Should put double yellows on Orchard Way where cars park both sides of the road or extend the lines further up Laxton Way to remove cars near the junction.   |  |
| 10969 | C3       | Russet Way     | Resident           |     | 1       |           | The lines will leave the residents of this half of Russet way with no street parking. The nearest place to park would be orchard way with cars at risk of being broken into or vandalised. parking in Russet way is awful already with cars double parked and on pavements at the other end No 1-8 russet way . Lines would not change the way people drive .they tend to not stop at junction with laxton way and they cut the corner.it would be of more benefit to extend the lines up laxton way to stop cars parking there as this is what causes the problem .we only have parking for one car so have to park on road to the far end of my property.parking here does not obstruct the junction at all .generally no one parks across the other affected part of road .the owners of 14 russet way also have to park a car on road and they would also be affected .a teenage girl having to park streets away late at night.The lines would be unsightly and will making selling our home in the future very difficult and would affect the value of my property as would the lack of parking.please send someone to observe the road and you will see what really needs to be done | <a href="mailto:debbiebarnes1203@gmail.com">debbiebarnes1203@gmail.com</a>                               |

|       |          |                          |   |   |  |  |
|-------|----------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 10969 | C3       | Russet Way               | Resident                                | 1 | <p>We are 100% against to the parking restriction outside our home as cars in our household are insured by us to be on the drive and on the road in front of our house, we are not sure what the problem is when there are cars parked halfway on the pavement from one end of Russet Way to the other, I would have thought that was more of a problem, if you wish to drop the kerbs in the front of our house free of charge we could keep all of our vehicles off the road, the occupants of number 56 Russet Way have 6 or 7 cars, 3 of which don't move off the drive and they are filled with junk and unlikely to be sold, these estates were not designed to have 3, 4 or 5 cars per house, where are all these cars supposed to park..? Vehicles coming down from Laxton Way seem to find it extremely difficult to stop at the junction to make sure it's clear before they turn left, they bomb down the hill and turn barely slowing to pull out which I believe is where the problem lies, if anything the cars parked out the front of 11, 12 and 14 act as a form of traffic calming, it's probably all the idiots that drive too fast down from Laxton Way who don't want to stop at the junction that made the complaints.</p> | <a href="mailto:neilgould46@gmail.com">neilgould46@gmail.com</a>   |
| 10969 | C3       | Russet Way               | PSJ Parish Council                      | 1 | <p>It was noted there is already a restriction in place in this area; the new proposals extend these restrictions to the side of the road directly opposite the junction. It was acknowledged that on-street parking in this area is an issue and this restriction will provide further visibility at this junction improving road safety. It was therefore proposed to support the restrictions proposed at Russet Way detailed on tile C3.</p>   | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | C4       | Wellow Lane              | PSJ Parish Council                      | 1 | <p>It was proposed to object to the restrictions at Wellow Lane as detailed on tile C4 as they were not felt to be necessary.</p>  | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue           | Paul Alford @ Genuine Car Services Ltd. | 1 | <p>Find Foxcote Avenue very congested with vehicles parking both sides of the road by the Mercedes Garage and The Circle Hospital sites. We would like the Council to look at extending the no parking double yellow signs down the length of one side of the whole road to prevent cars being parked on both sides of the road. Not just near the junctions proposed.</p>   |  |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Sue Forsythe @ Revolution Education     | 1 | <p>These proposed restrictions are clearly inadequate, that will make no difference to the existing problems. To maintain access for delivery vehicles to businesses such as ours in the Industrial Qtr at Bath Business Park the whole lengths of both Foxcote Ave and Roman Way need to be made single side parking (ie double yellow lines on the Mercedes showroom side of both roads). The bus stop outside the hospital also needs to be clearly marked on the road, to stop the bus causing blockages due to parked cars inside the bus stop.</p>   | <a href="mailto:sforsythe@rev-ed.co.uk">sforsythe@rev-ed.co.uk</a>                                       |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Jean Readman @ Northavon M&E Ltd.       | 1 | <p>It has been brought to our attention that the proposed prohibition of parking on parts of Bath Business Park, Peasedown St John are only going to be placed on road corners and junctions. We strongly recommend that these proposals are reconsidered. The need for parking restrictions are required so that parking is limited to one side of the road only on Foxcote Avenue and Roman Way. The volume of traffic and the size of the vehicles - eg HGV lorries and buses - is too much and when cars are parked both sides of the road it is dangerous and hazardous. We also have to give thought if emergency vehicles had trouble getting through - this could endanger life. Request double yellow lines on one side of the road on Foxcote Avenue and Roman Way.</p>  | <a href="mailto:jean.redman@northavonme.co.uk">jean.redman@northavonme.co.uk</a>                         |

|       |          |                          |  |   |  |  |
|-------|----------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Natalie Emmett @ Bath Fertility Centre | 1 | <p>Further to your proposed parking plans for Roman Way, we object to the current plans and request that Roman Way and Foxcote Avenue are marked as single side parking. Here at the Bath Fertility Centre we need to take regular vital deliveries. This has not always been possible due to parking on both sides of Roman Way. Additionally driving along Foxcote Avenue, adjacent to Circle and Mercedes, has become dangerous. Cars are parking on both sides of Foxcote Avenue, including on corners, reducing the driving space dramatically. Foxcote is a bus route and frequently used by Transporters trying to deliver to Mercedes and Audi, as well as many delivery lorries, vans, customers, patients and staff. There have been numerous near accidents. We are mindful that Plot A &amp; G on Roman Way will potentially house a number of car dealerships and will have associated Transporter deliveries, customers and staff parking. We are eager that the new dealerships do not unload vehicles outside our building or incur issues with limited parking space when receiving new plate cars and therefore park in front of our building and along Roman Way and Foxcote Avenue.</p>  | <a href="mailto:Natalie.Emmett@bathfertility.co.uk">Natalie.Emmett@bathfertility.co.uk</a> |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Clive Seager @ BBP ManCo Ltd.          | 1 | <p>The current proposed plan is inadequate and will make no practical difference to the existing parking issues. Large vehicle access is required at all times along the entire length of both Foxcote Ave and Roman Way (Somersetbus 175, HGV deliveries to the Industrial Qtr, HGV vehicle deliveries to the 3 car showrooms, ambulance access to the Circle Hospital). When smaller vehicles are parked on both sides of Foxcote Ave and Roman Way large vehicle access is often difficult, which in turn can then lead the large vehicle to cause further obstruction and restricted access for other users e.g. for emergency vehicles. For instance there have been numerous cases of the Somersetbus 175 being temporarily unable to pass badly parked vehicles (none of which were in the areas highlighted in the current notice). Previously discussed proposals such as parking restrictions along the North side of both Foxcote Ave and Roman Way, making the roads single side parking only, have not been included within these notices. No provision has been made for dedicated unloading bays, for instance for couriers or for the HGV car transporters to the 3 car showrooms (Mercedes, Audi and the currently undeveloped Hartwell site). These large vehicles can cause significant access issues for other road users. Commuter parking. An increasing number of vehicles are using Foxcote Ave and Roman Way as an unofficial 'park and ride' site, using the 175 bus to commute into Bath. These vehicles have no association with BBP but still increase the strain on the existing limited parking issues at this site. This issue needs careful consideration. The council has previously promised to paint the 'Bus Cage' on the road at the bus stop outside the hospital to help reduce access issues due to inconsiderate parking at this bottleneck point. This has still not been done and is not mentioned on these plans.</p> | <a href="mailto:accounts@bathbusinesspark.co.uk">accounts@bathbusinesspark.co.uk</a>       |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Jackie Gait @LDL                       | 1 | <p>On behalf of LDL Components at 2 the office village Roman way I would like to object to the proposed measures to restrict parking. We would like to see the circle bath bus stop marked up and double yellow lines taken down the entire length of Foxcote Avenue on that same side. This should then be extended into Roman way on the corners as proposed but again extending along the length of one side.</p>   | <a href="mailto:jackie.gait@ldlonline.co.uk">jackie.gait@ldlonline.co.uk</a>               |
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Foxcote Avenue/Roman Way | Ellie Stewart @ Wells Printing         | 1 | <p>As both a PROPERTY and a BUSINESS owner on Bath Business Park, I do not agree with the proposed changes, they do not go far enough and the businesses and business park management company should be consulted before any decision is made. The roads are hazardous and are an accident waiting to happen – I am very concerned for the safety of my staff and Suppliers. There clearly needs to be double yellow lines on all council owned roads on Bath Business Park.</p>   | <a href="mailto:Ellie@wellspringing.co.uk">Ellie@wellspringing.co.uk</a>                   |

|       |          |                    |                               |          |   |  |
|-------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------|---|--|
| 10969 | C4 D3 D4 | Bath Business Park | Martin Buckland@Data sharp    | 1        | As property owner of Units 4 and 5, The Office Village, Bath Business Park, I reject the proposed scheme, as I feel it is inadequate and does not solve the problem of cars parking on both sides of the roads within the business park, meaning sometimes double parking occurs and leaves only a narrow gap for a single slim vehicle to pass through. This has caused many road blocks and near accidents over the 3 years that I have owned my property here. I myself and many of my staff have experienced many near-misses. One day a serious accident will occur, if nothing sensible is done about the problem. The simple solution would be to apply double yellow lines along the full length of each road, enabling traffic to pass each other better, increasing visibility, reducing road blocks and improving safety in general. I regularly meet with all building owners and occupiers and they all seem to agree. They also say that we have not been consulted in this matter and urge that you attend site to meet us, so we can discuss all practical and safe options here. | <a href="mailto:mbuckland@datasharp-ic.co.uk">mbuckland@datasharp-ic.co.uk</a>                           |
| 10969 | C4 D4    | Foxcote Avenue     | Matthew Lord @LDL             | 1        | Foxcote Avenue should also have Extended Proposed No Parking all the way along one side North side and then to the East side past Roman Way. Having just driven back in and out of Foxcote Avenue it should be NO PARKING ALL the way along Both sides of Foxcote avenue as it is dangerous when cars are approaching making it single road as cars are parked all the way along the hospital side. To Solve the Parking issue which clearly there is one, a Proper Car park should be built behind the hospital where it looks like it was never properly finished as it is.   | <a href="mailto:Matthew.Lord@ldlonline.co.uk">Matthew.Lord@ldlonline.co.uk</a>                           |
| 10969 | C4 D4    | Foxcote Avenue     | Mike Powell @ Icon Scientific | 1        | With regard to the above referenced notice, we note that the proposed parking restrictions in Foxcote Avenue are only placed around the junctions with other roads and entrances. We feel that the proposed restrictions are inadequate to preserve the safe access and egress for the users of Bath Business Park, and the emergency services. Additionally, the proposals have been issued without consultation with the businesses occupying the business park, who clearly have daily first-hand experience of the problems caused by the current parking situation.  | <a href="mailto:Mike.Powell@iconscientific.com">Mike.Powell@iconscientific.com</a>                       |
| 10969 | C4 D4    | Foxcote Avenue     | PSJ Parish Council            | 1        | It was proposed to support the restrictions at Foxcote Avenue as detailed on tiles C4 and D4 as it was felt they would improve highway safety in these areas.   | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
| 10969 | D3 D4    | Roman Way          | PSJ Parish Council            | 1        | It was proposed to support the restrictions at Roman Way detailed on tile D3 & D4 as it was felt they would improve highway safety in these areas.  | <a href="mailto:clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk">clerk@peasedownstjohnparishcouncil.gov.uk</a> |
|       |          |                    |                               | <b>7</b> | <b>12</b>   | <b>2</b>   |